# Statement by Submission MAGISTRATES COURT

Dear honourable magistrate Marron, the whole purpose of my driving 'knowingly' into a 'road block' testing facility was solely for the purpose of its result. That result being the education of the police services officials.

I simply want to alert them to the ultimate consequences of their daily actions. Actions which led to *my false arrest, detention and transportation* against my will by 'armed men,' and the subsequent 'interrogation' threats and coercion which was solely for the purpose of attempting to force me to change my 'belief' and deny my conscience.

Sir, I again stand here today solely as a result of my concerns for the safety and the spiritual welfare of the police services officers and magistrates who I believe are exceeding their mandate to 'punish.'

Why and What concerns? My concerns are that the police and magistrates are unknowingly and often through ignorance using subordinate or secondary rules in their interaction with the peaceful of the population.

In fact in using said inferior rules the police are no longer doing their sworn duty of protection by upholding the peace. They are in <u>fact</u> disturbing the peace of the peaceful by harassing and persecuting and causing harm to them instead of only hauling in those who <u>have</u> factually disturbed the peace of others. This is my belief.

I know that I am supposedly 'innocent until proven guilty,' but the facts are that I am presumed guilty unless or until I can prove my innocence to the charges.

Further to the above, as I do believe in <u>full</u> disclosure of facts, I also state on this page one of my defence that you and I are both 'on trial' in this case.

This case is to 'test' the Rights of man to live according to their OWN conscience, belief or ideology (Religion) since that is granted unto them by God as well as by the Constitution of Tasmania. There is only one 'proviso' being, that they *do not disturb the peace of the land* nor *commit immoral acts* and, - - -

It is also to 'test' as to whether magistrates are denying the rights of those standing before them in being treasonable anarchist Dictators who, by using armed police services officers, deny the 'common man' their Rights.

How? By using 'secondary' and therefore *illegal rules*, they are aiding, abetting and being complicit to the *plundering the pockets* of THE PEOPLE by armed forces men whom themselves <u>use threats</u>, *coercion and intimidation* and more. Thus it is you 'Sir' who is also on TRIAL before our God and the whole world via my web site, as this 'case' is 'free to air' on line for all.

Your honour, when there is 'open' warfare, politicians 'pass' the authority to use <u>force</u> over to the Military 'Chiefs of Staff.'

During times of peace, the armed forces used within the land to 'quell' any 'disturbance of the peace' are the <u>armed</u> police services that use <u>force</u>. The Police 'Chiefs of Staff' are in FACT men such as you, being Magistrates empowered to DICTATE the terms of engagement <u>backed</u> by <u>force</u> of <u>arms</u>.

This is the reality because, whatever you 'direct' the police to <u>do</u> is <u>done</u> as per the 'dictate' of your pen as 'signatory,' being the 'legitimate authority' for them to OBEY your personal decrees. This power you give to them <u>is</u> the *power to 'execute' another*, \* ABSOLUTE POWER ON EARTH indeed. (*The power of God*)

Sir, for fifteen years I have been 'crucified' by magistrates and 'scorned' by the police as being an 'offender' when in FACT I have simply been living within the constraints of God's Command. I have also been living within the constraints of the Code of Conduct 'Policy' of the Constitution of Tasmania which promises me 'immunity from persecution' and protection.

However, every magistrate has <u>chosen</u> to defy and deny the above for reasons known <u>only</u> to themselves and God. I do believe that this 'activity' on their part is <u>TREASON</u> and <u>ANARCHY</u> and the 'taking' of a false wage.

I am seeking to elevate the consciousness of mankind and can but hope that <u>this</u> time, our God will permit you Sir to see REASON and set me free as <u>is</u> your 'sworn' DUTY and my RIGHT.

Sir, I ask that you simply read all of my defence and use the appropriate set of Superior Rules of the Constitutional Authority because, it is the Constitutional Rules, which apply to my case. In so doing you 'protect' yourself and you honour your own soul and you show the WORLD your own 'innocence.'

Australian and Tasmanian Constitution Acts. Acts which authorise the actions of public servants:

Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act (9th July 1900) section 116

"The Commonwealth <u>shall not make any law for establishing any religion</u>, or for <u>imposing</u> any religious observance, or for prohibiting the free exercise of any religion, - and

Constitution Act 1934 (Tasmania)

This document includes a <u>legal guarantee of the religious liberty and equality of Tasmanians</u>. Every citizen is <u>guaranteed freedom of conscience and the free exercise of religion</u> under Section 46(1) of this Act.

## Part V - General provisions - Religious freedom

- 46. (1) Freedom of conscience and the free profession and practice of religion are, <u>subject to public order and morality</u>, <u>guaranteed to every citizen</u>.
- (2) No person shall be subject to any disability, or be required to take any oath on account of his religion or religious belief.

I am an absolute pacifist who is living according to my conscience and to my ideological belief and the Command of our Sovereign Lord, God. I have not disturbed the peace of the land.

It is my belief that a vehicle should only be halted on a public highway if the driver is or was driving dangerously or having 'disturbed the peace of the land' or having engaged in an immoral activity.

In my 'case' I also believe it to be a violation and transgression of the Freedom of Religion clause of the Constitution. I believe IT to be the RULING AUTHORITY of all public servants employed by the institution of government and, - - -

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

I base a 'part' of my defence upon the RULING Acts of the Tasmanian & Australian Constitutions and on the validity or otherwise of the claims against me, since they hinge upon the interpretation of the word 'Religion' as well as the interpretation of the content of the Constitutional ACT above.

Honourable Magistrate, Sir, I am 'charged' with and facing a complaint from the police prosecutor for 'failing to give a reasonable excuse' in driving without a driving license 'permit' and for refusing to obey an 'order' to breathe into a breathalyzer test unit.

The issue at stake here is whether or not the 'rules' applied to my 'case' are relevant or, whether I am a person having or holding a 'belief' that precludes me from being a 'subject' of said rules.

In this case it was confirmed by the officer 'Groves' who halted me that I had not disturbed the peace in any way when so asked by me if I had. It is my belief that I was thus not a person having become a person to be subjected to 'arrest' and 'testing' and 'questioning.'

As an absolute pacifist it is my belief that it is a gross violation of human and civil rights for armed men to step out into the path of an oncoming vehicle and command its driver to HALT and to then <u>demand</u> that the driver OBEY their demands.

Furthermore I believe it a violation of ones rights for one to be transported to a 'prison' and be subjected to an interrogation with threats, coercion and intimidation if they fail to subject themselves to 'orders' given in said 'persuasive' manner.

It is my opinion that as I was <u>not</u> 'driving dangerously' nor disturbing the peace of the land and, as I was 'living' according to my 'belief, conscience and ideology, that none of the 'rules' or 'acts' applied to bring me before you (forcefully) apply to me.

From past experience I do know that the prosecutor may believe that you are only required to uphold State 'laws,' but that is an invalid assumption and I therefore refer you to: "Responsibility of Magistrates."

What role do the courts play in Australian governance? Australia's system of courts is the third arm of Australian governance and is known as the Judiciary.

The role of the Judiciary is to:

- apply the law as made by the Parliament
- where necessary, interpret the laws made by Parliament and
- <u>ensure</u> that laws <u>comply</u> with the <u>Australian Constitution</u>

I also <u>believe</u> that any person who denies me my RIGHTS and interferes in my life is a person themselves guilty of disturbing the peace of this land. Such is my belief in respect of ones daily CONDUCT.

I also <u>believe</u> that; as my Constitutional 'immunity' precludes me from any further demands of me to prove my innocence for contravention of later day legislation that, - - -

The use of any subordinate rules against me is an illegal activity by state officials and in contravention of the Freedom of Religion ACT.

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

It is <u>thus</u> that I refused to 'co-operate' as such with the demands made upon me and <u>I believe</u> that any punishment or 'restraints' imposed is a criminal offence, treason and anarchy and, - - -

It is an attempt to coerce me into changing my ideological belief and denying my own 'conscience' if I was to 'join' and conform to the ideological beliefs of others <u>having</u> conflicting ideals.

I reiterate that, IRRESPECTIVE of the beliefs of my persecutors, that in this defence paper I have 'given a reasonable excuse' to them in respect of their 'complaints' to you their 'Judge.' A man who is also empowered to defy God according to your own political 'mandate to punish.'

~ Questions ~

Since the defendant (myself) is claiming immunity from prosecution due to my belief in:

- a) Conforming with and obeying the Command of God and its precepts to me and -
- b) Having God as my head of house rather than voting for a 'head of state' and –
- c) Living by my conscience rather than bowing to the dictates of other mortal men and –
- d) Never disturbing the peace of the land nor having caused others harm.

## The 'questions to be considered are:

- 1 Is the defendant entitled to live his 'peculiar' religious ideological BELIEF (doctrine) of absolute pacifism as is granted unto him by God and the ruling rules of man within the Tasmania & Australia Constitutions without being persecuted?
- 2 Is the defendant 'proven' by his deeds (actions) to be living in a moral and peaceful manner as required by both God and man or not, and is he to be protected by the Constitutional Authority as required under the Magistrate's own rules?
- 3 Is it the 'job' of the <u>prosecutor</u> to satisfy the magistrate that living peacefully with <u>God</u> as ones head of house" is:
- A NOT the entitlement granted by God unto man?
- B NOT the entitlement granted to man within the 'Freedom of Religion' clause of the Constitution?

Further, is it the 'job' of the defendant to so prove or for the magistrate to disprove it?

This 'peculiar' unusual case is not one where the police prosecutor needs to prove my 'guilt,' but for <u>me</u> to prove that I am <u>not</u> a person LIABLE to be subjected to the State/his 'rules of conviction.'

The error lies in the area concerning the rights of man to live by their own conscience as God guides them DIRECTLY. It is apparent to me that these Rights granted by God and within the constitution are, have been, and continue to be, not only ignored but also OVERRULED by the politicians, police and judiciary.

I hope and trust that you will become the very FIRST magistrate on earth with the 'character, conscience and insight' to fully comprehend the 'enormity' of my 'persecution' and agree with my defence.

I state that I am 'Not Guilty' of any of the charges laid at my feet and, - - - I add the following which I hope will uplift the consciousness of every ready globally.

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

My defence against the charges hinge solely upon whether or not I am living my belief peacefully.

- 1 I believe that: The Creator, God, grants civil rights unto man being: A person may live by their conscience, belief and ideology as long as it is a peaceful one and they do not disturb the peace of others.
- 2 I also believe that: I may live according to my own conscience, being my own system of belief.
- 3 I also believe that I do not have to 'bow' to the dictates or demands of any other mortal as long as I live my life according to the precepts of God's 'peace, love, mercy, compassion and forgive' command.
- 4 I remind the honourable magistrate that; within the texts of the Constitution of your own organisation I am guaranteed immunity from persecution, protection from harassment, and the freedom to live by my conscience, belief and religious ideology <u>as long as</u> I do not disturb the peace of the land. It is also conditional in that I am a moral person.

The facts are that I only use the Constitutional 'texts' of the 'gov.org' given above to assist the magistrate to a better comprehension because I do not wish for him to err treasonably in defying IT.

I am neither 'guilty' of transgressing the mandate of the Constitution of your own organisation nor that of the Sovereign Power who entitles me to live according to my own ideological belief as my conscience dictates. If I 'offended' <u>rules</u> in a book that do <u>not</u> apply to me, then it so be.

The reason why I stand in this Court is not because I drive with no qualification to so do, for the factual reality is that I have passed a 'drivers test' which deems me to be a qualified driver, one who drives with over 50 years experience.

I am not contesting the fact that I refused to obey the orders of a police officer even though I had not been drinking, but there is however a very VALID reason for my non-conformity to the officer's demands.

I am contesting the validity of the State acts used by the prosecutor to persecute me in this 'case' since I have made it clear to the constabulary, on numerous occasions, that I live according to a <u>contra</u> ideological belief system (Religion) and am not 'beholden' to <u>them</u>.

The outcome of this case depends entirely upon whether or not the honourable magistrate is familiar with, and fully comprehends the 'value of,' and 'citizen rights' within:

- a) The freedom of religion clause of the Constitution of Australia
- b) The freedom of religion clause of the Constitution of Tasmania
- c) The rights granted unto all peaceful persons as deemed by God and man

Sir, according to the 'set of rules' you should <u>be</u> judging me on if you <u>believe</u> that you have the right to so do, then it follows that I AM travelling on the road LEGALLY and within my legal rights according to the rules of your own institution.

Sir, within the scope of the Freedom of religion ACT it <u>is</u> an *illegal activity* for State officials of said Institution to 'arrest' and detain a peaceful person and submit them to interrogation. This ACT is considered to be *disturbing the peace* of the land <u>and</u> an immoral ACT.

If the politicians and the police enforcers or the magistrates cannot see this FACT then it is regrettable indeed. Why is it so? Because all the monetary penalties or injury or deprivation of Liberty accrued by their punitive effort becomes their DUE spiritually within God's "As you sow so shall ye reap" Law. It is an unavoidable consequence to be suffered and 'met' by them in this world or the next.

It is my understanding and belief that the core of the 'Right' of an individual is that they <u>are</u> entitled to and can have God as their head of house.

Why? Because it is our God and His Command who is entitled to <u>be</u> obeyed and adored, rather than bowing to the <u>orders</u>, <u>demands</u> or <u>dictates</u> of mortal men. (Kings, politicians, enforcers and their rules/acts/decrees which <u>are</u> frequently in <u>contravention</u> of the Command of God).

Can I get ON with life as an absolute pacifist as required by a 'civil' society without interference by armed men? Being men who have the ideological belief that they <u>have</u> a right to interfere in the lives of others and thus <u>themselves</u> <u>disturb the peace of the land?</u>

Is the Constitution Act 1934 (Tasmania) as given on page 2 of this document granting "Immunity from persecution and protection to the peaceful" now 'alive & well' or is IT 'dead,' void or invalid"?

I Terence Michael Malaher <u>believe</u> that neither the 'Constitution of Tasmania' nor any state officers nor the magistrates can possibly claim any 'right' or legal jurisdiction over my beliefs, my conscience, my spirit, or my 'body.'

I am neither a slave to 'The Constitution' \* (see note: Treatise of Truth at end) or to any other person, and nor am I 'beholden' to the enforcers thereof. The one and only protection any or I have is the protection given by God IF a person always obeys His One Command.

I do not agree to State officers or officials carrying out any interference in the lives of others or inflicting 'injury' to others in my name or on my behalf.

My conscience dictates that I must only rely on the Command of our Sovereign Creator as my 'guide' and I bow to Him and His command given unto mankind and no other. No other can force me to bow to their beliefs or doctrine.

If any person raises up any complaint against my peaceful ways, so be it.

If any person raises up their 'sword' against me, then so be it. I will forgive them as commanded by God but I am not 'bound' to agree to their demands even if they are imposed upon me forcefully. I will suffer at their hands but I will go my way in peace once released and seek no recompense as I forgive them.

I do <u>not</u> believe that our God has given any man the 'legal' authority to rule any other. I believe that all earthly rulers have ILLEGALLY seized POWER <u>forcefully</u> using 'force of arms' and deception.

I believe that all 'such' men or women live in a state of perpetual 'delusions of grandeur' and are <u>all</u> 'spell-bound' by devilish thoughts and emotions due to their liquid arrogance, vanity or ignorance.

I only refer to the 'Freedom of Religion' clause of the Tasmania Constitution as a 'means' of defence simply because my persecutors receive their 'licence to persecute' others from IT.

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

Thus it is they who are bound by ITS, the Constitution's rules, not me, and if they defy ITS 'guidelines' then it is they who <u>are</u> treasonable and dishonest and taking a false wage.

Indeed, as I am an absolute pacifist, any 'official' person interfering in my life for any 'unwarranted' reason is a 'terrorist' or 'mercenary' anarchist who is in need of my counsel, and one to be educated as to <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/jhtml/

Sir, it is a well-known FACT that our <u>God</u> is the Sovereign Lord and authority. It is also a well-known FACT that He commands absolute obedience to His Command unto man to:

"Love one another and extend peace and goodwill unto all mankind, and be kind, compassionate, merciful and forgiving unto others who disturb the peace of the land and educate them." This I always do since I am a peaceful man and spiritual counsellor.

It is a well-known FACT that politicians <u>also</u> command total obedience to their every decree or 'rule.' It is also a FACT that every rule has a 'threat' of *punishment factor* attachment for any non-conformity, which in my belief is *coercion*.

As there is the above difference and 'conflict' of conduct and ideology between the two commands, my conscience dictates and demands that I OBEY the primary Command as required by our Sovereign God, and it is my RIGHT to so do. "So help me God."

I cannot support or condone what is seen by <u>me</u> as 'Political ANARCHY against God.' Nor can I fail to do my best to <u>assist</u> the police 'peace services' officers and yourself to <u>see</u> the error of you all constantly <u>disturbing the peace of the peaceful</u> by using political decrees, being your claim to 'legitimacy.'

I do believe that if I were to obey any political decree, which to me <u>was</u> in conflict with the precepts of God's Command that, I would be 'guilty' of defying God's Command. I would then place myself within the *punitive aspect* of God's 'eye for an eye' LAW for condoning *iniquity* and <u>its</u> forceful imposition upon <u>other</u> community members.

God <u>forbids</u> man to use <u>His</u> destructive forceful energy to <u>cause</u> harm to any of His children. This destructive energy belonging only to God is the 'fruit' of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil according to <u>my</u> belief and insight.

It follows that every political decree, whether backed by or imposed by 'force of arms,' is an ILLEGAL ACTIVITY and one which is in contravention of the Command of God the absolute authority, divine ruler and Sovereign Power.

It is thus that I cannot obey any 'orders' of armed men who <u>I believe</u> are interfering in my life and making <u>illegal demands</u> of me.

I also <u>believe</u> that since police officers did arrest me, kidnap me, transport me in their vehicle to a 'dungeon' and try to coerce me with threat of punishment for non-conformity, that I also had the RIGHT to deny them my 'acquiescence' to their demands.

I KNOW that ANY activity by persons believing that they are empowered by secular <u>rules</u> to <u>defy</u> their God are DOOMED and are to BE consigned into the fires of HELL.

I also believe that as I am brought to the court for the purpose of my punishment by you, that my persecutors are operating illegally and outside of the 'constraints' of the Command of our Sovereign God.

If truth be told, I am not 'legally' bound to 'appear' in this court, I only do so in order to 'honour' our God. I also do my best to assist my persecutors to see the error of their ways and to 'show up' the error of political decrees which force the police service to defy God and <u>interfere</u> in the lives of THE peaceful PEOPLE.

Planet earth is a place where men armed with guns do whatever they wish to. Planet earth is a place where other men backed by men bearing 'arms' also do whatever they wish to. Their 'self' empowerment does <u>not</u> make their <u>actions</u> RIGHT in the eyes of God irrespective of <u>their</u> beliefs to the contrary.

It is our God the ultimate Judge who sees all and now waits to see 'who' walks tall in His Light and 'who' continues to defy Him and <u>use</u> His 'forbidden to use' MIGHT.

NOTE: I am neither a slave to 'The Constitution' \* - IT IS MY BELIEF that the use of the words "With the blessing of God Almighty" enabled the 'modern day' enslavement of all mankind. Refer to the 'A Treatise of Truth' article on the Internet web pages at:

http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/faith.htm

I have given the 'court' a valid reason as to why my 'arrest' was illegal in my 'belief' and thus I do not need to give any 'excuse' as to why I should be 'excused' from punishment.

### ~ Addendum ~

The PROMISE to man by the INSPIRATION OF GOD through the minds of those raising UP the 'original' Constitution is ONLY fully understood by me being, that <u>ones</u> Salvation is ONLY 'guaranteed' if you are as below listed:

- 1 If you are a person of moral standing who is an absolute pacifist and never retaliates negatively in the face of adversity. It follows that you cause NO harm.
- 2 You are a person who lives within the 'peace, love, mercy, compassion & forgive' Command of their Creator. These persons are given 'freedom of the road' as they live by their own conscience and are not 'bound' or bonded as slaves to any system or organisation of man. These individuals 'live' in peace as commanded by God at all times and in all situations and they are caring and they share their love and wisdom with the 'lost.'

Politicians have failed to take into consideration their own mandate to legislate, in that no legislation applies to the peaceful of moral standing who <u>believe</u> that they are entitled to live as <u>absolute pacifists</u> according to their <u>own conscience</u>. It also follows that they are NOT TO BE VICTIMIZED by armed men.

Naturally community elders can give living guidelines, but the error is the extortion and punitive factors which lead all into THE ABYSS. So I BELIEVE.

In order to 'prove' and 'Judge' the case at hand one way or the other one first needs to understand the <u>meaning</u> of the <u>words</u> 'Freedom of Religion' and how they are applicable to citizens of Australia and <u>every</u> land mass on earth.

Equally important to understand and accept is that on this 'material' level, the overriding power of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act (9<sup>th</sup> July 1900) section 116 supersedes <u>all</u> subsequent legislation.

Section 116 of this Act is a general prohibition applying to <u>all</u> laws and <u>subsequent</u> legislation, under whatever powers these laws have been made.

It does not compete with other provisions of the Constitution and <u>it prevails over all laws</u> and <u>limits all provisions</u> that give power to make laws.

Accordingly no law can escape the application of s 116 and all legislative powers are subject to the condition which s 116 imposes. Clause 5 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act says:

Operation of the Constitution and laws [see Note 3] This Act. - All laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution shall be binding on the courts, judges, and people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth, <u>notwithstanding</u> anything in the laws of any State.

It follows that the 116 'Freedom of Religion' Clause giving immunity and protection to those who wish to live by their belief clearly <u>overrides</u> any latter day Traffic Act that of itself is <u>not</u> a 'code of conduct' road safety policy <u>assuring safety</u>, but <u>is</u> simply an annual tax. It is the issue of 'tax' that is the point in contention.

Equally, Constitution Act 1934 (Tas) and its Part V - General provisions "Religious freedom" applies to every State of Australia. Section 117. I seek to assist you in determining whether or not the Section 8 (1) vehicle & traffic act 1999 is a valid law \* in this case.

Note: valid law \* - The implication being that State law is valid <u>unless</u> it is overruled by a Commonwealth Law such as the 'Freedom of Religion' Act. This is an Act that is only 'valid' to those who have <u>not</u> disturbed the peace of the land and <u>have</u> their God as head of house rather than mortal man.

In the Annotated Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia, Section 330 titled "Its Interpretation," John Quick and Robert Randolph Garran say:

"In the exercise of the duty of interpretation and adjudication not only in the High Court, but <u>every court of competent jurisdiction</u> has the right to declare that: A law of the Commonwealth or of a State <u>is void by reason of transgressing the Constitution.</u>

This is a duty cast upon the courts by the very nature of the judicial function. The federal Parliament and the State Parliaments are not sovereign bodies; they are legislatures with limited powers; and any law which they attempt to pass in excess of those powers is no law at all it is simply a nullity, entitled to no obedience."

I am an EDUCATOR and I see the Dark controlling ways of politicians leading to more interference, more extortion of money, more control and more punishment. Thus I choose to 'suffer' at the hands of the merciless and ignorant so as to be able to show them the ERROR of their ways and to TRY and save them from excruciating suffering and terror which results from any defiance of God.

Honourable magistrate, this is a 'test' case of 'honour' being 'played out' on the global stage of the 'World Wide Web.' It is therefore open for 'millions' to see if 'Freedom of Religion' still stands. I believe that it is incumbent upon me to assist the honourable magistrate to see the present error of the day.

A person living their BELIEF in PEACE as commanded by God can only claim immunity within the Freedom of Religion Clause if they are NOT condoning and supporting by vote and funding a doctrine that is <u>contra</u> to the doctrine of <u>peace</u> in being <u>controlling</u>, <u>interfering</u>, <u>punitive</u>, <u>injurious</u> etc.

It is my absolute belief that as long as I conform to the Ruling Command of our Sovereign Monarch God and thus do not ever 'disturb the peace of the land,' that: -

I am <u>not</u> a person to be subjected to the decrees or rules of other mortals. Rules which I consider to <u>be</u> error of doctrine and <u>contra</u> to my own ideological belief, conscience and thus 'Religion.'

I am an EDUCATOR who sees the ever-increasing DARK controlling ways of politicians leading to greater interference, extortion and punishment. I choose to 'suffer' at the hands of the ignorant so as to be able to show them their <u>error</u> so as to assist <u>them</u> and elevate their consciousness and that of all mankind.

## The Pertinent QUESTIONS at hand are:

- A The constitutional <u>legality</u> or otherwise of police action against me in respect of THEIR and MY Constitutional 'Freedom of Religion' <u>belief</u> Rights and my protection under <u>that</u> Act.
- B Is Section 8 (1) vehicle & traffic act 1999 valid in this case or is it <u>overruled</u> by the 'Freedom of Religion' Act within the Tasmania Constitution? I passed a driver's certificate of competency many years ago but cannot pay a TAX license 'fee' to re-validate IT annually to any organisation having a proven <u>contra</u> religious ideology to mine.
- C Is the State issued driver's license 'docket' a 'permit of permission' to drive on the 'King's road' ONLY on payment of an annual 'fee or tax' to the Institution you serve? If "Yes," then the 'Freedom of Religion' Australian Constitution Act (9 July 1900), section 116 applies in my case, as does the Tasmania Constitution Act 1934. Both give me immunity from persecution and prosecution.
- 1 I <u>am</u> an absolute pacifist and the institution you serve <u>has</u> the opposite and thus 'contra' ideological belief to mine. Your belief being the use of force to control, regulate, fine & punish & extort money & wage war & kill using 'arms.' I <u>believe</u> that it would be a contravention of God's 'Peace & love & mercy' Command for me to support <u>it</u> by 'vote' or through 'fee or tax' as it would <u>be</u> against my religious ideological belief and conscience.
- 2 I further <u>believe</u> that the "NOT IN MY NAME" policy must be strictly observed and adhered to by me. In order to so do, I cannot pay the wages of <u>others</u> (paid servants) that then go forth operating <u>on my behalf</u> and <u>in my name</u> doing 'injurious' things to others.

Why is it so? Because, any <u>actions</u> in absolute contravention of <u>my</u> religious ideological <u>doctrine</u> of 'PEACE, love, compassion, mercy and forgiveness' <u>at all times</u> and <u>in all situations</u> are in my opinion, forbidden by THE CREATOR.

3 – I further believe that I do not have to pay an annual monetary 'fee or tax' to any institution prior to my personal driver's certificate of competency 'becoming' or remaining 'valid' since I became proven to be a valid competent driver when I passed my driving test. My 'pass' is my validity qualification.

SIGNED:	 DATE:	25 <sup>th</sup>	April	2014

- 4 My innocence from 'guilt' only becomes apparent when we compare the differences between your belief system and mine, as well as on the true meaning of the word 'religion' and <u>its</u> overriding 'ruling' factor within the context of the Tasmanian and Australian constitutions.
- 5 Your belief system is predicated upon a 'belief' that my driving certificate of competency validation is contingent upon payment of an annual 'tax' fee. Your demand of an annual 'fee' is simply a 'political' edict having no bearing on my competency or right to drive.
- 6 My belief states that I am free to drive firstly, because my competency was established when I passed my driver's test and secondly on the belief that I did nothing to disturb the peace of others prior to my 'arrest.'
- 7 The issue at stake is whether or not I am a person who is <u>required</u> by law to make such a 'fee or tax' contribution to state coffers or not. Please take into account my ideological <u>belief</u> that I must <u>not</u> fund any system that is proven to have the <u>contra</u> ideological belief in 'War' to mine being that of 'Peace.'
- 8 Your belief system is also predicated upon a 'belief' that I must obey orders given by police officers. In my belief, if I have <u>not</u> disturbed the peace of the land then it is error for any other person including police to make any <u>demands</u> upon me.

They are entitled to 'ask' and I am entitled to comply or refuse. I add, that as I am not a 'party member' of their 'order' they have no rights of ownership over my being.

9 - The REAL 'question at hand' is, - - - "Am I entitled to live by my own system of belief, ideology, religion as long as I do NOT disturb the peace of the land"? According to God <u>and</u> the Freedom of Religion act within the Constitutions of Tasmania and Australia, I am.

In <u>answer to</u> the 'charges' 1 & 2 within the summons I believe was not a person <u>liable</u> to being halted, questioned or 'tested.' Why? Because I had NOT 'disturbed the peace of the land,' nor was I a 'party member' supporting the organisation detaining me and thus not one of its 'subjects.'

The 'summons' also states that: "I refused without reasonable excuse to comply or submit."

On being halted I 'asked' of said officers if I had disturbed the peace in any way and they had responded "No." It follows that my reported negative response to their demands <u>was</u> "A reasonable excuse" as I <u>was</u> 'living' my ideological belief and going about my day <u>PEACEFULLY</u>.

As for charge (3) – I was also living my belief that my driving test 'pass' was my validation and qualification to drive and it was not any annual 'tax' imposed by an institution of man to be ITS 'validation.'

I do believe that within the Australian Constitutional Acts/Law which 'mandates' and authorizes your decisions, that I have immunity from persecution and prosecution.

I also trust that you will have the courage to go by THE FACTS, for if you so do, I believe that you would legally be bound to set me free. Of equal importance is that by this 'act' you will assist our God to uplift the consciousness of all humankind.

I 'invoke' the protection of the superior 'Freedom of religion' acts of the Tasmania Constitution and the Australian Constitution as herein given for your convenience.

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

I am also aware that all and I <u>must</u> always obey the Command of God, even when 'duress' may lead to ones 'crucifixion.' I add, I never disturb the peace of the land for any reason.

A person living their BELIEF in PEACE as commanded by God cannot vote for any 'dictatorial' man and has God as their head of house. They only support or fund, benign community effort as <u>their</u> conscience dictates.

I therefore advise, in this document of defence submission, that the police themselves revert back to being peacekeepers who only haul in persons who factually disturb the peace, for their educative correction.

The only thing I am doing wrong as perceived by the State is that I am being disobedient to the "rules" of the organisation.

As God and I see it, since I am not a voter but am living in a peaceful and therefore contra manner in not being a 'party member' condoning and supporting the institution of government, I am <u>not</u> a person to be persecuted and subjected to ITS 'rules of engagement.'

Individuals such as myself do <u>not</u> 'vote' or support, condone, nor fund any person, group or institution, whether private or public such as a government having the CONTRA ideology in the <u>belief</u> that <u>they</u> are entitled to <u>use</u> force and 'Terrorise, invade, subjugate, tax, seize property, injure, dispossess and KILL.'

I cannot fund nor condone any politicians who interfere in the lives of others or punish them.

I CAN fund a benign 'policing' peace force, which hauls in persons who <u>do</u> disturb the peace of the land. I CAN fund magistrates who judge people as needing educative correction.

I <u>believe</u> that in order to satisfy my God and His command, I must only contribute to the <u>benign</u> aspects of societal provision of services. I believe that if I contribute 'tax' money to the State coffers that I would in fact be paying the wages of the <u>controlling</u> and <u>punitive</u> enforcers.

This would make me *complicit* \* (an accomplice) to all the suffering that said enforcers <u>impose</u> upon <u>others</u> in the course of their duty to <u>their</u> 'rules of engagement.' This 'complicity' would result in me automatically placing <u>myself</u> within the <u>punitive aspect</u> of <u>God's</u> Law: "As you or your servants do unto others will be done unto you" and, ---

God would see me as a 'faithless' mortal for seeking the protection of men rather than His protection which is ENSURED by always adhering to His Command of PEACE at <u>all</u> times and in <u>all</u> situations.

<u>Summarizing the facts given</u> - I cannot deny my <u>belief</u> in 'Peace at all times and in all situations,' because this is the basis of my *religious ideology*. I would also be denying my conscience.

This I would surely do if I funded the coffers of an institution <u>having</u> the proven <u>contra</u> ideological belief in "Control, <u>punishment and War.</u>" Given my beliefs, I should be neither 'coerced' nor punished using the prescribed traffic Act.

I Terence <u>believe</u> that the word 'Religion' is the belief in a superpower entitled to <u>be</u> revered and obeyed and, IT IS THE IDEOLOGICAL CODE OF CONDUCT <u>POLICY</u> as Commanded by the Sovereign Power (God) that is to be obeyed.

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

I believe that any fine or punishment imposed by a magistrate upon an absolute pacifist who was <u>not</u> disturbing the peace <u>is</u> simply his or her coercive attempt into forcing a person into changing their ideology (religion) by funding a contra 'punitive' doctrine. An 'act' which under the Law <u>is</u> an unlawful activity within the Constitution.

I believe that the Tasmanian and Commonwealth CONSTITUTIONS <u>are</u> 'The Sovereign Ruler' giving <u>ITS</u> ruling decrees unto ITS 'servants.' (ITS – The Constitutional <u>decrees</u>)

I believe that a persons such as myself who <u>do</u> 'conform' to Constitutional 'rights' granted and who <u>do</u> obey the "Do not disturb the peace of the land and be of moral standing" rulings of God, man <u>and</u> the Constitution, have the right to live as 'free men or women.'

I believe in my entitlement to go about my business <u>without</u> 'Let or hindrance.' I <u>do</u> adhere to <u>all</u> the road safety <u>policies</u> of 'conduct and directives.'

The prosecutor is using a rule stipulating that one is deemed 'guilty' simply due to the failure of not having paid monies as a 'license 'fee' to the state coffers, and no other 'factors' are considered. The Tasmanian & Australian Constitutions rules have two 'criteria' that affect the outcome.

It needs to be understood by my 'oppressors' that I AM an honourable person serving my God judiciously as I obey HIM and I cannot serve two 'masters.' It is thus that I cannot fund a warlike system such as 'Caesar' or State who <u>cause harm or injury</u> to <u>other</u> children of GOD.

As I see it, both Caesar and State officials and their 'voting' supporters are presently wielding the vengeful 'sword' of 'Retributive Justice' for and on behalf of the DARK 'energy' aspect of THE SOURCE, God.

I cannot become complicit to that for I AM God's messenger sent to awaken everyone from his or her 'reverie' before THE LAST DAY. The day when all continuing to be defiant of the 'Peace & love & mercy & forgive' Command ARE banished into the underworld to suffer on and on in eternal perpetuity.

Before the behaviour of society becomes totally offensive and insane I am simply doing my best to awaken everyone so that together we can implement a new, good, benign and godly way forward.

Everyone wants, needs, desires peace and the right to live according to their own conscience, but as people become more aware of government interference in their lives they will revolt.

No 'mandate' nullifies the 'return' aspect of <u>God's</u> benign or <u>malignant</u> ENERGY. Both ITS 'aspects ARE a 'Law' unto themselves which ARE uncontrollable by YOU.

Every punitive 'correctional' punishment causing harm or trauma or loss etc., returns UPON THE 'IMPOSER' and those complicit within God's: "As you did sow so shall ye reap" Law.

Honourable magistrate, I trust that you have the wisdom, courage and depth of soul to set a precedent. A precedent whereby true believers in peace can go about their way without being persecuted as is their RIGHT granted by God and within the freedom of religion clause of the Constitution, as they strictly observe the precepts within the Command of God.

I personally will fund no "men of war." - I will fund no weapons being the destructive "toys of men." - I will support no "sanctions" against others. - I will fund no "unholy men" who fight or steal or control. - I will support no "acts" that defy God's Holy Commandment.

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

I will fund no "acts" that place <u>me</u> in contravention of any 'Anti-terrorist' legislation. Being legislation enabling the funding of persons or institutions perceived by ME as being 'terrorists' due to <u>their use of force</u> backed by weapons.

Thus enabling them to coerce, intimidate, extort funds, invade, control, punish, injure, kidnap, hold hostage, injure, torture or kill. This applies to <u>every</u> government institution on earth, for all <u>are</u> in my eyes and God's GUILTY of such conduct.

I will only fund benign "activities" chosen by my pen. This may take the form of supporting hospitals or the local school, or the 'footy' club or Library too. I may or may not fund "research" into how many bananas hang from a tree, for my mind is free. If others wish to fly to the moon or live in the Antarctic by the sea, then they must fund themselves I see.

I shall "hold" my own "cheque book" and no "other" will demand to in it look. My "affairs" will be mine, and no prying "swine" will "divine" what I do, for all I do is being TRUE to God and you. If I "wish" to for any community endeavor pay, then so be it, and there is no need for any other to demand it to be done their way. I will pay for the services I wish to use.

Is there a time when I could be 'legally' subjected to State rules? Yes, if I voted for the government to PROTECT me using force of arms and RULE me. Why? Because I would be paying the wages of 'armed men' to interfere in the lives of others and cause others harm on my behalf and in my name.

It would also be an illegal activity in the context of <u>God's</u> Command and I would be subjected to the punitive aspect of God's LAW through my complicity..

Note: Religious persecution is 'civil war' being waged by 'some' upon others on the land.

The reality of this 'case' is that; the prosecutor <u>is</u> 'throwing' <u>his</u> 'book of rules' at me stating: "Bow to ITS ideology that I uphold for a wage or I will punish you using forceful means."

I respond saying: "I fellowship with a different 'club' and only live according to ITS ruling Commands."

As a Christian follower of God as my 'head of house,' I cannot bow to the dictates of any mortal men nor give in to their demands of me. I reserve my RIGHT to NOT <u>fund</u> the 'ways' of a 'contra' ideological policy nor <u>use</u> ITS enforcers.

Sir, if you can read my total defence and then set me free, then for the first time a good and honest and honourable precedent will have been set. Indeed, a precedent, which will lead to political changes to the present unconstitutional system.

The present system is one which is currently being abused through ignorance by many legal men who have failed in their duty to consider the Constitutional implication of absolute pacifists like myself, to be free to live according to their God inspired conscience.

The new system, which needs to be established, would be one in which the new styled 'peace force' (Corps) would only do their proper duty to man and to their God.

Serving police officers and other state officials must now learn that superior Constitutional rules do exist and that Magistrates like yourself are, under your own laws, required to take same into account. The other reality is that the Superior LAW of God who is THE authority, does apply to all mankind.

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014

Police officials would only haul in those who have factually offended and have them assisted in a benign manner as per the directives given in the "Offender" document linked at the end of this defence.

Since it is my intent to lead 'lost youth' onto the right path, I would like the opportunity to conduct some seminars for your young offenders currently serving in the jail.

I have been as diligent as I possibly can in preparing this my defence 'statement' to the court. This has been done so as to also assist the magistrate so that <u>his</u> 'findings' are lawful and truthful because, as a 'judge,' it is <u>he</u> and his judgement that is being observed by <u>God</u> the Almighty and, - - -

As I am the 'teacher,' it is I to show all mankind the 'error' of imposing 'terror' upon others by inflicting punishment. For by that 'act' we show our GOD of our 'disdain' to His Command of 'Peace & love & mercy & compassion' and, - - -

It is now the time for <u>all</u> 'believers' to <u>bow</u> to God and change <u>their</u> 'way' before <u>HIS</u> personal judgement upon their actions is spoken to them by God as He has His FINAL say as to their 'worth' and spiritual <u>elevation</u> or, to their <u>infidelity</u> and <u>eternal</u> damnation.

Let us 'together' now BEGIN implementing the New Age Strategy of uplifting the lost and 'errant' through benign education.

I Will to Will God's 'Will' May truth, light & love prevail.

#### Terence

Please consider this statement written before God on 26th April 2014

<u>Note</u>: This is the *power to 'execute' another*, \* - Every mortal man has the 'power' to Judge his sister or brother as 'one' in need of punitive correction. Every mortal man has the God given power to injure or 'execute' another perceived as needing to be so 'done by.'

However, our God COMMANDS that we: 'Judge <u>not</u> lest we be <u>so</u> judged by HE.' Why is this so? Because we are <u>not</u> God and thus <u>God's</u> immutable 'eye for an eye `  $\sim$  equal 'law of return' <u>LAW</u> applies, and as we 'punish' the other so shall we <u>be</u> punished in this or the after-life:

If we see the other in 'error' and needing 'correction,' then we must ONLY assist them using education.

Link: The 'Treatise of Truth' – <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/treatise.htm">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/treatise.htm</a>
Link: The 'Offender' document – <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/offend1.htm">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/offend1.htm</a>

**Note:** For a pdf copy of the letter to the Minister of Justice go HERE: <a href="http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/justice.pdf">http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/justice.pdf</a>

Note: This 'defence' is an educative document on line for the world at: http://www.the-testament-of-truth.co.uk/truth/web/religion.htm

SIGNED: DATE: 25th April 2014